Where is the Lead? Inappropriate Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator Shock Caused by Extreme Twiddling
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ABSTRACT

A 43-year-old man who had received mitral and aortic valve replacement surgery underwent the implantation of an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) for sustained ventricular tachycardia. The patient presented with a sudden jolting sensation in his left upper chest area one year after the device implantation. He had a history of vigorous upper body exercise during the several months of the follow-up period. Device interrogation revealed complete sensing and capture failure. The ventricular lead impedance was in the normal range, but the high voltage impedance had dropped to less than 10 Ω. Four inappropriate shocks for ventricular fibrillation had been delivered due to over-sensing of the atrial signal on the ventricular lead. Chest radiography showed ventricular lead displacement with extreme rotation and flipping-over of the generator. In the lead revision operation, the old ventricular lead was extracted and replaced, and the generator was fixed more deeply in the pocket with a non-absorbable ligature.
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Introduction

Twiddler’s syndrome is a pacemaker or implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) malfunction caused by manipulation of the device and subsequent displacement of the leads.1 While it has been well described among pacemaker patients, it is relatively uncommon in patients with an ICD.2-7 We report the case of a patient with inappropriate ICD shocks caused by ventricular lead displacement from the extreme rotation of the ICD generator following vigorous upper extremity exercise.

Case

A 43-year-old man (108 kg, 194 cm) presented to the emergency department with dizziness and palpitations that were diagnosed as sustained ventricular tachycardia (VT).
Monomorphic VT (a QRS duration of 174 ms) with a 200 bpm rate was observed. The QRS morphology showed a right bundle branch block-like pattern (monophasic R wave in lead V1) with late precordial transition at V4,5, a northwest axis (240º), positivity in the aVR, isoelectricity in the aVL, and QS in lead I. Based on the electrocardiographic characteristics, the site of origin of the VT was suspected to be the left ventricular (LV) mid-inferolateral region. Echocardiography showed LV dilatation and dysfunction (LV end-diastolic dimension: 66 mm, LV ejection fraction: 25%). The patient had undergone mitral and aortic valve replacement surgery for severe mitral and aortic valve regurgitation after rheumatic fever approximately 30 years prior. Before the sustained VT event, he had been prescribed nebivolol 2.5 mg once-daily for hypertension and mild LV dysfunction (LV ejection fraction 42%), and warfarin for mechanical valves. The patient showed normal coronary arteries on the coronary angiography and did not present any transient or correctable causes of VT, including electrolyte imbalance.

Considering that the spontaneous VT was related to valvular cardiomyopathy and that the patient had both prosthetic aortic and mitral valves, we decided to implant an ICD rather than perform a catheter ablation for VT. A single-chamber dual-coil cardioverter defibrillator (Ellipse VR MRI, St Jude Medical Inc., Saint Paul, MN, USA) was implanted. A ventricular active fixation lead (Durata 7120Q, St Jude Medical Inc.) was introduced through the left axillary vein and screwed to the right ventricular apex (Figure 1A). The generator was implanted under the skin without anchoring. Radiography performed immediately after implantation showed that both the lead and generator were well positioned (Figure 1B and 1C). Device interrogation showed good function (sensing threshold 11.8 mV, capture threshold 0.75 V, V impedance 630 Ω, High Voltage [HV] impedance 43 Ω).
Throughout the regular follow-up period, several short, nonsustained VT events were recorded under amiodarone medication. Five months after the ICD implantation, the patient was lost to follow-up for 7 months. In his last outpatient clinic visit, the ICD interrogation had shown normal function (sensing threshold 8.0 mV, capture threshold 1.0 V, V impedance 390 Ω, HV impedance 42 Ω).

The patient revisited the outpatient clinic with a sudden jolting sensation in the left upper chest area. ICD interrogation revealed sensing and capture failure of the ventricular lead. Although the impedance of the ventricular lead had increased slightly from 390 Ω to 800 Ω, the HV impedance had fallen to less than 10 Ω (normal range; 10-100 Ω). Seven episodes of ventricular fibrillation (VF) and 4 deliveries of shocks for VF were recorded. The ventricular electrogram revealed over-sensing of the atrial signal (p-wave) on the ventricular lead that had been misdiagnosed as VF, causing inappropriate shock delivery (Figure 2). A chest X-ray showed ventricular lead displacement with extreme rotation and flipping-over of the generator (Figure 1E and 1F).

Since the last outpatient clinic visit, the patient had been performing vigorous upper extremity exercise to lose weight, including weightlifting, stretching, and swinging his arms around. The patient denied manipulation of the device pocket. We suspected that the device had rotated spontaneously and flipped over during the vigorous exercise. Simultaneously with the device movement, the ventricular lead had been drawn from the right ventricular apex and had curled around the generator in the pocket. In a second operation, the old ventricular lead was extracted and a new active fixation ventricular lead (Durata 7120Q, dual coil screw lead, St. Jude Medical Inc.) was implanted in the right ventricular mid-septum area. The generator was fixed more deeply in the pocket using a non-absorbable ligature.

**Discussion**

Twiddler’s syndrome is relatively rare in patients with ICD. This may be because ICD generators are usually bigger, have less capacious pockets, and are anchored by non-absorbable ligatures more frequently than are pacemakers. Most cases are attributable to the deliberate or subconscious manipulation of the generator by patients.

In this case, the patient was obese and the abundant fat tissue around the generator pocket might have facilitated the drooping of the generator. Although the slack of the ventricular lead had
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**Figure 2.** Stored ventricular electrogram of the ventricular fibrillation (VF) episode. Over-sensing of the atrial signal caused a VF misdiagnosis that led to inappropriate shock delivery. The red arrow indicates the atrial signal (p-wave) and the blue arrow indicates the ventricular signal (r-wave).

ECG, electrocardiogram; VF, ventricular fibrillation.
been considered sufficient at implantation, it had changed in the upright position. Considering the patient’s tall stature, the length of the redundant lead had been just enough to make one coil. According to previous reports, obesity, advancing age, the female sex, and a pendant breast have been suggested as risk factors of Twiddler’s syndrome, as patients with these characteristics are at higher risk of having the generator rotate in its pocket on account of loose subcutaneous tissue. In addition, although the patient denied deliberate spinning of the generator, he did perform vigorous upper body exercise despite warnings. Hence, the inadequate anchoring of the generator and movement of the same side arm caused complete retraction of the affected lead, with subsequent encircling around the generator. Therefore, a short circuit between the HV coil and the generator had occurred, resulting in a HV impedance drop. Considering the normal range of ventricular lead impedance, a lead defect such as an insulation break was not strongly suspected. Minimization of the pocket size and suturing of the device deep into the pectoral muscle fascia might help to prevent this problem.
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